

# Of Democrats and Neanderthals

## “CRIMINAL OFFENSES”

by [Joseph DeMaio](#), ©2019



(Feb. 13, 2019) — Keeping up with the latest proof positive that the goal of the Democrat Party is the undermining of the United States and the destruction of President Trump and everyone who supports and/or voted for him, one need look no farther than the 11<sup>th</sup> hour injection into the “Border Wall” negotiations of that which Sen. Mitch McConnell politely labels a “[poison pill](#).” And for the few libs out there who accidentally stumble onto the P&E website, the use of the term “Democrat Party” is intentional, because it is a gross misnomer to associate the generic adjective “democratic” with anything even remotely related to the loons now leading that political cabal.

Mercifully, that “poison pill” – a Democrat-concocted demand that a “cap” be placed on the number of beds to be allowed for criminal illegal aliens, thereby necessitating the release into the general population of criminal detainees which exceed the cap – was dropped (we think) from their demand. Although the fact that this lunatic idea was

abandoned by the Democrats is cause for relief, the true evil of the proposal was that it was floated by the Democrats in the first place.

By insisting that, as part of the “deal” to provide President Trump with just over 24% of the \$5.7 billion needed to minimally secure the border with Mexico – a plan whereby thousands of additional criminal illegal aliens would need to be released into the general population in this country to wreak havoc and “have their way” with its citizens – the Democrats display their true color (canary yellow) and their new motto: “¡Mexico, sí; El Salvador, sí; Guatemala, sí; Nicaragua, sí; USA, *no!*!”



The lead House Democrat negotiator participating in the forging (by the way, an apt descriptor...) of the “compromise” bill is one Representative Lucille Roybal-Allard (D-CA). Underscoring her ignorance of federal law, the representative contended that “capping ICE’s (Immigration and Customs Enforcement) detention beds would force the administration to narrow its deportation efforts, focusing on “criminals and people who pose real security threats, not law-abiding immigrants who are [contributing](#) to our country...”

Two points come to mind. First, any “capping” that would have taken place is better referenced to the “kneecapping” of U.S. sovereignty by the Democrats and bludgeoning of the right of U.S. citizens to be protected from foreign invasion and foreign invaders. Second, persons who unlawfully cross the border and enter the United States a first time are subject to fine and incarceration for up to six months, or both (8 U.S.C. § 1325(a)). For any second or subsequent illegal entry after deportation, the same person is subject to fine and imprisonment for up to two years, or both (8 U.S.C. § 1325(a)).

For the edification of Ms. Roybal-Allard, we call the first of these crimes a “misdemeanor” and the second crime we call a “felony.” Both are criminal offenses and both are expressions by the United States Congress that illegal entry into the United States automatically and specifically renders the offender something *other* than a “law-abiding immigrant.” Memo to Ms. Roybal-Allard: hire some better researchers before opening your mouth.



*Speaker of the House Nancy Pelosi (D-CA12)*

On the other hand, if President Trump signs off on the “compromise” and accepts the \$1.375 billion “barrier” component of the bill, that means that he will have gotten \$1,374,999,999.00 *more* than the Creature from San Francisco – Nancy (“What’s-that-on-my-shoe?”) Pelosi – offered him prior to these “good faith” negotiations. Moreover, if anyone thinks this issue will not surface again when another budget deadline looms, he is indulging in a controlled substance. And when that happens, look for more infusions of money following this “[down payment](#)” for additional “border barrier structures.”

Moreover, President Trump should study carefully the ingenious gambit proposed by Senator Ted Cruz. His idea posits that the [\\$14 billion](#) in forfeited drug money following the conviction of Joaquin (“El Chapo”) Guzman be used to build far *more* wall than that authorized under the “compromise” bill. Under that scenario, while “Mexico” might not be paying for the wall, a “Mexican” most certainly would. It is a “win-win” scenario. If nothing else, Sen. Cruz deserves a Pulitzer for the acronym title of his bill: the “Ensuring Lawful Collection of Hidden Assets to Provide Order” Act, or, for short, the “El Chapo” Act.



And as for the truly stupid Democrat argument that a “smart” barrier of sensors, drones, lights and electronic monitoring devices is more effective than a “dumb” physical wall or barrier, consider this: while drones, sensors, lights and monitoring devices will allow the Border Patrol and ICE to detect and *watch* the tsunami of illegal crossings, even at night, a wall or steel barrier will *stop* illegal entries at the outset, 24/7/365 (and for math-challenged Democrats, 366 in leap years). Sometimes “medieval” solutions are the best, because it is far better (and cheaper) to prevent a problem at the outset than it is to try to rectify a problem – and its resulting damage – after it has metastasized. The Democrats’ “smart barrier” argument is not merely medieval; it is Neanderthal.

Moral of the story: mobilize the electorate to re-take the House of Representatives in 2020, thereby returning the Creature to San Francisco, where she can stroll its quaint, needle-strewn and feces-littered streets. Oh, and speaking of returning persons, re-elect President Trump so he can complete the wall.